Filed 11/18/25

Page 1 of 3

Case 3:23-cv-03417-VC Document 651

This corrected filing substitutes the accurate case number – 3:25-cv-09579-BLF – for the Entrepreneur action. The prior version inadvertently listed the number as 3:25-cv-03579-BLF. No other changes have been made.

TO THE COURT AND ALL PARTIES AND THEIR COUNSEL OF RECORD:

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that pursuant to Civil Local Rules 3-12 and 7-11 of the Northern

District of California, Plaintiff Entrepreneur Media, LLC ("Entrepreneur") files this

administrative motion to consider whether Entrepreneur Media, LLC v. Meta Platforms, LLC,

5:25-CV-9579-BLF should be related to Kadrey, et al. v. Meta Platforms, Inc., 23-CV-3417-VC.

Counsel for Meta Platforms, Inc. ("Meta") has informed Entrepreneur that Meta does not oppose

this administrative motion. See Declaration of Moez M. Kaba ¶ 4.

Civil Local Rule 3-12(b) provides: "Whenever a party knows or learns that an action, filed in or removed to this district is (or the party believes that the action may be) related to an action which is or was pending in this District as defined in Civil L.R. 3-12(a), the party must promptly file in the lowest-numbered case an Administrative Motion to Consider Whether Cases Should Be Related." Civ. L.R. 3-12(b). Actions are related to another when "(1) [t]he actions concern substantially the same parties, property, transaction or event; and (2) it appears likely that there will be an unduly burdensome duplication of labor and expense or conflicting results if the cases are conducted before different Judges." Civ. L.R. 3-12(a).

Entrepreneur now files this motion in the lower-numbered action. Entrepreneur does not seek to consolidate the two actions under FRCP 42, as Entrepreneur is situated differently than *Kadrey* plaintiffs as a corporate published of book and periodicals, rather than individual authors, and because of the differences between the respective parties' potential damages theories. However, Entrepreneur has reason to believe *Entrepreneur Media*, *LLC v. Meta Platforms*, *LLC*, 5:25-CV-9579-BLF is related to the *Kadrey* matter before Judge Chhabria. The two matters involve the same defendant and arise from the same events: (1) Meta's illegal download of copyrighted works contained in shadow libraries, and (2) Meta's use of those works to train large language models. Because Judge Chhabria has familiarized himself with Meta's alleged

1	misconduct, including by resolving dispositive motions addressing the same alleged misconduct,
2	conducting this case before a different judge would likely create an unduly burdensome
3	duplication of labor.
4	Accordingly, Entrepreneur respectfully requests that the Court related Entrepreneur
5	Media, LLC v. Meta Platforms, LLC, 5:25-CV-9579-BLF and Kadrey, et al. v. Meta Platforms,
6	<i>Inc.</i> , 23-CV-3417-VC.
7	
8	Dated: November 18, 2025 HUESTON HENNIGAN LLP
9	Mar Mh
10	Ву:
11	Moez M. Kaba Michael M. Purpura
12	Lee Linderman Brittnee Bui
13	NEWMEYER & DILLION LLP Michael B. McClellan
14	Benjamin P. Pugh Jason L. Morris
15	Harlye S. Carlton
16	Attorneys for Plaintiff ENTREPRENEUR MEDIA, LLC
17	ENTREI RENEUR MEDIN, EEC
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	_
28	-3- Case No. 23-CV-3417-VC